research papers

Acta Crystallographica Section B Structural Science

ISSN 0108-7681

H. Krüger,^{a,b}* S. Stöber,^c T. R. Welberry,^b R. L. Withers^b and J. D. Fitz Gerald^d

^aInstitute of Mineralogy and Petrography, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria, ^bResearch School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia, ^cFaculty of Geoscience, Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg, Germany, and ^dResearch School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: hannes.krueger@uibk.ac.at

Stacking faults and superstructures in a layered brownmillerite

Single crystals of $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_0 {}_5Ti_0 {}_5O_9$ have been synthesized using a flux method. The structural characterization using single-crystal X-ray diffraction revealed the space group Amma and unit-cell dimensions of a = 5.3510 (6), b =26.669 (3), c = 5.4914 (6) Å. The structure is isotypic with Sr₃NdFe₃O₉ [Barrier et al. (2005). Chem. Mater. 17, 6619-6623] and exhibits separated brownmillerite-type layers. Onedimensional diffuse scattering shows that the unit cell is doubled along **c** by alternating the intra-layer order of tetrahedral chains, causing stacking faults along the **b** direction. A computer simulation was performed, proving that the observed intensity variations along the diffuse scattering rods originates from two different local structures depending on the configuration of the tetrahedral chains. Selected-area electron diffraction experiments exhibit well ordered regions characterized by satellite reflections corresponding to two different superstructures. Both superstructures can be described using the superspace group $A2_1/m(0\beta\gamma)0s$, with $\gamma = 0.5$ and $\beta \simeq 0.27$ or $\beta = 0$.

1. Introduction

The ferrite or aluminoferrite phases (Ca₂AlFeO₅) in cements exhibit brownmillerite-type structures. They are important for the hydration process with the ability to react with water (and with additional phases like gypsum), initializing the crystallization of hydration phases like ettringite-type structures (AFt phases) or layered calcium aluminate hydrates (AFm phases). In contrast, perovskite-type structures present in calcium aluminate cements (CAC) remain inert during the hydration process in a hydrous environment. The influence of manganese ore additions to CAC raw materials was investigated by Pöllmann & Oberste-Padtberg (2001). They demonstrated that certain Mn contents reduce the sintering temperature, lower the costs of the starting materials and extend the early strength. In this context the influence of manganese on the ferrite phase concerning stability and properties of brownmillerite- and perovskite-type phases in the system Ca₂Fe₂O₅-Ca₂Mn₂O₅-Ca₂Al₂O₅ was investigated by Zötzl & Pöllmann (2006).

Ferrites occur in different types of ordinary Portland cement clinkers and in fused CAC clinkers. In ordinary Portland cement (OPC), brownmillerites coexist with C_3S , C_2S and C_3A (cement nomenclature: C = CaO, $A = \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $S = \text{SiO}_2$, F =Fe₂O₃) as the main clinker phases and in CAC together with *CA*. The abundance of ferrite phases in OPC and CACs is quite different: OPC clinkers contain 5–15% ferrites, whereas iron-rich CACs may contain up to 20–30% ferrites. Furthermore, the Al/Fe ratio of brownmillerites Ca₂(Fe_{1-x}Al_x)₂O₅ differs significantly: OPCs generally contain Al-rich ferrites in Received 3 July 2011 Accepted 11 October 2011

Table 1

Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$
$M_{\rm r}$	467.40
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Amma
Temperature (K)	298
a, b, c (Å)	5.3510 (6), 26.669 (3), 5.4914 (6)
$V(Å^3)$	783.64 (15)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})^{31}$	7.58
Crystal size (mm)	$0.09 \times 0.07 \times 0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Stoe IPDS 2
Absorption correction	Integration Stoe X-RED32 1.31
T_{\min}, \dot{T}_{\max}	0.529, 0.643
No. of measured, independent and	3489, 571, 543
observed $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.029
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.023, 0.034, 2.61
No. of reflections	571
No. of parameters	54
No. of restraints	0
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.48, -0.39

Computer programs used: Stoe X-AREA, Stoe X-RED, SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1997), JANA2000 (Petříček et al., 2000), DRAWxtl (Finger et al., 2007).

the range $C_6A_{1.5}F_{1.5}-C_6A_2F$, whereas CACs contain Fe-rich brownmillerites. Brownmillerite phases (ferrites) show important differences compared with pure members of the solid solution series $Ca_2(Fe_{1-x}Al_x)_2O_5$ (Fukuda & Ando, 2002; Redhammer et al., 2004; Krüger, 2011), depending on the sintering temperature, the cooling process, the oxygen fugacity (fO_2) and the chemical composition of the melt. Some of the iron may be reduced to Fe²⁺ in fused CAC, because of variable fO₂ in the kiln (Touzo et al., 2001). Certain amounts of raw material impurities like MgO, TiO₂, Cr₂O₃, Mn₂O₃, K₂O and Na₂O are incorporated in the brownmillerite crystal structure of the clinker phases. First, a common substitution in ferrite solid solution series can be described as a correlated substitution of trivalent cations Fe^{3+} and Al^{3+} by $Mg^{2+} + (Si^{4+},$ Ti⁴⁺) to provide charge balance. Second, trivalent cations are partially substituted by Si⁴⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ together with an increase of O²⁻ to provide the charge balance (Marinho & Glasser, 1984). Taylor (1997) indicated that the chemical compositions of ferrites in OPC are quite constant. He gave a general composition with Ca2AlFe0.6Mg0.2Si0.15Ti0.05O5 for brownmillerites crystallized in OPC clinkers. Moreover, alumino-ferrite phases show intergrowth with the aluminate phase in OPC (Maki, 1974). Gloter et al. (2000) investigated the ferrite phase of CAC clinkers by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The authors found that perovskite lamellae exist in brownmillerite crystals, exhibiting coherent interfaces.

In single-crystal synthesis experiments examining Mn and Ti substitution in brownmillerite we found a phase which has not yet been reported in cements. An isotypic structure has been reported for $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ (Barrier *et al.*, 2005). As these phases $[Ca_4B_2B'O_9 (B = AI, Fe; B' = Ti, Mn)]$ form if a sufficient amount of four-valent species (Mn or Ti) are present,

they may occur in cement clinkers. We use the term *layered* brownmillerite because the structure contains separated blocks of the brownmillerite structure. The layered character is evident in the occurrence of stacking faults and the resulting strong one-dimensional diffuse scattering. Furthermore, they share many structural features with brownmillerites, as will be discussed later. The results presented in this study have been derived from one sample of composition $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$. However, we found *layered brownmillerites* (with the same diffuse scattering) in a wide range of the system $Ca_4B_2B'O_9$ with B = Al, Fe; B' = Ti, Mn.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

 $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ single crystals were synthesized using a flux-growth process (Kahlenberg & Fischer, 2000; Redhammer *et al.*, 2004). Pure and pre-dried $CaCO_3$ (0.550 g), Fe_2O_3 (0.329 g), TiO_2 (0.055 g) and MnO_2 (0.060 g, all compounds Merck 99.9%) were mixed. Pre-dried $CaCl_2$ (3 g, Merck 98%) were added and the mixture was homogenized and transferred into a 25 ml Pt-crucible (Pt90Au10). The Ptcrucible was covered with a lid and put into a muffle furnace. The reaction mixture was heated to 1473 K and kept at this temperature level for 3 weeks. Finally, the sample was quenched in ice water. Single crystals were removed from the flux by dissolving it in de-ionized water.

2.2. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction

Suitable crystals of good optical quality were selected from the sample material using a petrographic microscope and subsequently prepared for single-crystal diffraction experiments. The data collection was performed using a two-circle STOE IPDS-2 imaging-plate diffractometer. Orthorhombic symmetry is supported by a merging R value (R_{int}) of 0.047 merging in Laue group mmm (all reflections, before absorption correction). From the analysis of systematically absent reflections, the extinction symbol A--a was derived, which is consistent with the space group Amma (No. 63) or Am2a (No. 40; Hahn, 1983). The non-standard setting was chosen to conform with the most abundant setting used for brownmillerites (**a** parallel to the tetrahedral chains, **b** parallel to the stacking direction).

Data reduction including Lorentz–polarization as well as absorption correction (using 10 indexed crystal faces) was undertaken with the software *X-Area* (Stoe & Cie GmbH, 2005*a*) and *X-Red* (Stoe & Cie GmbH, 2005*b*). Details on the data collection and processing are summarized in Table 1.

Apart from the Bragg reflections a set of one-dimensional diffuse scattering rods are present in the diffraction pattern. These rods are oriented parallel to \mathbf{b}^* and are completely separated from the Bragg scattering. In reciprocal space sections $\perp \mathbf{b}$ the diffuse streaks show up as sharp satellite reflections, corresponding to doubling the unit cell along \mathbf{c} .

Another crystal $(0.14 \times 0.09 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}^3)$ was used for the collection of diffuse scattering data. This data collection was

performed using a STOE STADI-4 diffractometer equipped with a point detector (scintillation counter). Monochromated (planar graphite monochromator) Mo $K\alpha$ radiation was used, and the sealed tube was powered at 50 kV and 40 mA. The diffractometer was equipped with an 0.5 mm multiple pinhole collimator and the detector-receiving slits were set to 3 mm (= 0.86°, vertical and horizontal). After the orienting matrix was



Figure 1

Line profiles of 3k0.5, 3k1.5 and 3k2.5. Experimental diffuse intensity is shown along with line profiles derived from models A-D.

determined, q-scans of the diffuse streaks were performed. The streaks *hkl* (h = 1,2,3; l = 0.5, 1.5, 2.5) were measured in the range 0 < k < 30, with a stepping of 0.4 reciprocal lattice units. As the maximum counting time was limited to approximately 240 s (due to software limitations; Stoe & Cie GmbH, 1999), the data of three individual scans (each 240 s counting time per point) was summed up. In order to determine the background, a second set of q-scans (for each diffuse streak) was placed parallel and close to the diffuse streak (at the same distance from the origin). These background scans were used to fit background functions of the form $f(k) = a \exp(-bk^2) + 720$, which were subtracted from the corresponding diffuse scattering data. The line profiles with the highest intensities are found in 3k0.5, 3k1.5 and 3k2.5 (Fig. 1). The measured diffuse scattering data for the remaining lines (*hkl*, h = 1, 2; l = 0.5, 1.5, 2.5) can be found in the supplementary material.¹

As point-detector scans do not reveal the full reciprocal space, an additional experiment on an image-plate diffractometer (MAR345dtb) was employed to measure threedimensional data. This was done to exclude the effects of twinning or possible overlap of accrued crystal grains, therefore the same crystal was used as for the one-dimensional line scans. The experiment was performed with monochromated Mo K α radiation (sealed tube, 45 kV and 40 mA), the beamsize was set by two slit systems to 0.2×0.2 mm and the distance between the sample and detector was set to 90 mm. A φ -scan of 180° was performed with steps of 0.25° and an exposure time of 1200 s per step. The orienting matrix was determined using XDS (Kabsch, 1993) and the reciprocal space reconstruction was calculated utilizing the software Xcavate (Estermann & Steurer, 1998). Fig. 2 (right side) shows the experimental data for the (3kl) layer, exhibiting the strongest diffuse scattering. The reciprocal space sections (1kl), (2kl), (4kl) can be found in the supplementary material.

2.3. Transmission electron microscopy

A few grains of the sample material were powdered in an agate mortar and subsequently dispersed on a holey carbon film using ethanol. High-resolution microscopy was performed using a Philips CM300T operated at 300 kV. Images were recorded with a GATAN 1024 \times 1024 CCD camera. Further selected-area diffraction experiments were performed using a Philips EM430 operated at 300 kV. Diffraction patterns were recorded on large format sheet film.

3. Results

3.1. Average crystal structure

The average crystal structure was solved in the space group *Amma* using direct methods (*SIR*97; Altomare *et al.*, 1997) and subsequently refined with *JANA*2000 (Petříček *et al.*, 2000). Attempts to solve and refine the structure using the non-

¹ Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SN5108). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

centrosymmetric space group Am2a resulted in strong correlation of atomic coordinates of sites which are equivalent in the space group Amma. Therefore, the centrosymmetric space group was assumed to be correct.

Four cation sites were identified: two sites with high coordination numbers (8 and 9) which were assigned to Ca atoms. The remaining two sites show tetrahedral and octahedral coordination, consequently these sites were assigned to iron and manganese/titanium, respectively. However, the tetrahedral site is a split position, as is one of its coordinating oxygen sites. The tetrahedra are disordered with respect to two distinct configurations.

Bond-valence sum (BVS; Brown & Altermatt, 1985) calculations for Fe2 revealed a value of 3.01 (1) and therefore do not show any evidence for four-valent species on the tetrahedral position. Furthermore, refinement with a mixed (Mn,Fe)/Ti occupany showed that no significant amount of Ti is present on the tetrahedral site.

As iron and manganese cannot be distinguished in X-ray diffraction experiments, the sum of iron and manganese was refined as iron. The final refinement included mixed occupancy of Ti/(Fe,Mn) for the octahedral site only, showing that ca 25% of that site is occupied by Ti. As the structure requires two three-valent B cations per formula unit, it can be assumed that the octahedral site is filled with 50% iron and 25% manganese: giving a formula of $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_0$. This is in good agreement with the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis, which was carried out using an XFLASH 5010 detector (Bruker). As the contrast in scattering factors of (Mn,Fe) and Ti is small and the EDX analysis was not performed quantitatively, the chemical composition should be understood to be only an estimate. Furthermore, mixed-valence states of manganese and iron, as well as nonstoichiometric oxygen content, cannot be excluded. Details of the final refinement can be found in Table 1. atomic coordinates of the structural model can be found in the supplementary material. Structure drawings were prepared using *DRAWxtl* (Finger *et al.*, 2007).

The structural model obtained is basically isotypic with the structure of $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ (Barrier *et al.*, 2005). However, Barrier *et al.* (2005) decided not to employ a split position for the tetrahedrally coordinated iron, which results in a high distortion of the tetrahedra and a noticeably high bond-valence sum (Brown & Altermatt, 1985) of 3.18 for Fe2 in $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ (Barrier *et al.*, 2005).

Whereas the brownmillerite structure types exhibit octahedral (O) and tetrahedral (T) layers connected to form a framework, this structure type shows two-dimensional O-T-Oslabs (brownmillerite blocks) separated by distorted rock-salt type CaO layers (see Fig. 3). Therefore, we use the term *layered brownmillerites* for this structure type. As pointed out by Barrier *et al.* (2005) this structure type can also be described as an intergrowth between the K₂NiF₄ and brownmillerite-type structures.

The coordination of the two Ca atoms show a significant difference: Ca2 is located between the octahedral and the tetrahedral layers, and shows a ninefold coordination and a close to ideal BVS of 2.071 (3). The Ca1 site is within the CaO sheets between the brownmillerite blocks and is slightly under-bonded exhibiting a BVS of 1.845 (4).





Figure 2

The (3kl) layer: reconstruction from X-ray diffraction data (right, including Bragg reflections). The calculated diffraction pattern (model A) is shown on the left; Bragg intensities are subtracted.

Figure 3

The average crystal structure of $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$. All tetrahedral chains are orientationally disordered and represent two distinct configurations (*cf.* Fig. 2).

3.2. Analysis of diffuse X-ray scattering

The observation of structured one-dimensional diffuse scattering reveals the presence of stacking faults in the structure of the material. However, the average structure shows orientationally disorder of the tetrahedral chains and thus does not allow stacking faults. Therefore, it can be assumed that the chains are not randomly disordered but well ordered. In fact, the type and pattern of the diffuse scattering reveals the ordering scheme of the tetrahedral chains: an alternating sequence of right- and left-handed tetrahedral chains causes the doubling of the *c* parameter. Therefore, each brownmillerite block may adopt one of two different configurations (Fig. 4), which allow stacking faults to occur. Two neighbouring tetrahedral layers can be related by two different vectors, namely $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, with respect to the cell of the average structure.

The X-ray diffraction data show a smooth continuous intensity distribution (with periodic variations, see Figs. 1 and 2) along the lines of diffuse scattering, suggesting random stacking faults, with no preferred stacking sequences.

In order to reveal the structural features which result in the observed intensity variation of the diffuse rods, a computer simulation approach was employed.

The unit cell used to set up the model has a doubled c parameter in order to allow an alternating sequence of R and L chains within the layers. Each unit cell contains two tetrahedral layers, therefore two random variables (0 or 1) are needed (per unit cell) to represent the configuration of the layers.

As a system of stacking faults (of perfect two-dimensional lavers) represents a strictly one-dimensional disorder problem, very poor statistics are obtained unless a very large one-dimensional sequence can be used. This is not feasible in a relatively small computer model and this inevitably leads to very noisy calculated diffraction patterns. To overcome this problem the system was modelled instead using a twodimensional disordered array of 512×256 pixels, as shown in Fig. 5. Each pixel in this figure represents a perfect onedimensional column of two neighbouring tetrahedral chains along a. In the (horizontal) c direction a strong nearestneighbour correlation (of 0.9) is used to induce long rows of like-coloured pixels and this approximates the stacking layers. Black represents the chain configuration RL and white represents LR. In the vertical **b** direction the sequence of white and black is random.

The model crystal is built according to this two-dimensional array, using the atomic coordinates of the average structure. However, a few simplifications were made: iron was used for all octahedral and tetrahedral coordinated cations and the displacement parameters were neglected. The positions of atoms represented by split sites in the average structure were chosen in a way as to adopt the configuration required by the configuration array.

The diffraction patterns of the model were calculated utilizing the software *DIFFUSE* (Butler & Welberry, 1992). The calculation was performed using 1800 lots (randomly chosen subregions of size $1 \times 6 \times 6$ unit cells) assuming periodic boundary conditions. Bragg scattering (average scattering) was determined from 5% of the model crystal and subtracted from the result.

The first model causes continuous rods of diffuse scattering, their positions corresponding to those observed in the



Figure 4

Two neighbouring brownmillerite blocks showing an alternating chain sequence within the tetrahedral layers. Two possible translation vectors are shown: the right one relates the neighbouring layers, the left one would require a shifted position of the upper layer.



Figure 5

Part (100 \times 200) of the 'configuration array' used to set up the model. Each pixel represents two tetrahedral chains, either *RL* (black) or *LR* (white).

Table 2

Displacements (in Å) for models A-D.

Positive: in chain direction; negative: opposite to chain direction.

Model	O3	Ca2
Α	-0.11	0.08
В	-0.11	-0.08
С	0.11	0.08
D	0.11	-0.08

experimental data. However, no periodic variation of the intensity along the rods (as present in the experimental data) was produced.

It can be assumed that the local environment of the tetrahedral chains is subject to changes depending on the configuration of the chain, thus leading to two different local environments, which are superimposed in the average crystal structure as derived from X-ray diffraction (XRD) data. Further analysis of the average structure reveals details of the two different configurations: the anisotropic displacement parameters of the Ca atoms, of the bridging (tetrahedraoctahedra) oxygen atoms (O3) and the oxygen atoms opposite (O4) the bridging ones are significantly elongated along **a**. To reveal the magnitude of the displacements these atoms were split (isotropic displacement parameters were used) and another refinement was carried out. The distances between the pairs of split positions are: O3: 0.23, Ca2: 0.15, O4: 0.13 and Ca1: 0.11 Å. The sites closer to the T atoms (O3 and Ca2) show the largest displacements, whereas the more distant sites (O4 and Ca1) show smaller separations. Atomic coordinates of the refined split positions are listed in the supplementary material.

To work out the directions of the shifts (*e.g.* which of the two distinct positions of the split atoms corresponds to which chain configuration), considerations on polyhedral distortions were employed, as well as calculated diffraction patterns of the model crystal which were compared with experimentally determined diffuse scattering data. First a definition of the chain configuration/direction is needed. In a projection along **a** (Fig. 4), the two chain configurations can be easily distinguished: One type of chain has its intra-chain connecting oxygen atoms (O5) pointing along **a** (Fig. 4, red): these will be referred as type 1 chains; their chain direction is **a**. The type 2 chains have their connecting O atoms pointing in the opposite direction (-a, Fig. 4, blue); the chain direction is -a.

As shown by the split-position refinement the bridging oxygen between the tetrahedron and the octahedron (O3) exhibits the largest shift. We calculated distortion parameters (Robinson *et al.*, 1971) for the tetrahedra and the octahedra depending on the displacement of O3 along the chain direction. Fig. 6 shows that the tetrahedral distortion has a minimum for a shift slightly below -0.3 Å (negative is against the chain direction). However, the minimal distortion for the octahedra is close to a displacement of 0. Consequently the displacement of O3 is opposite the chain directions, its magnitude being determined by a distortion equilibrium between the octahedra and the tetrahedra.

Given the fact that the direction of the displacement of O3 is well determined by the tetrahedral distortion parameters, we tried to use BVS calculations to reveal the direction of the Ca2 displacement. However, the derived BVS for the two options are not significantly different. Therefore, the displacement of Ca2 has to be determined by analysis of the diffuse scattering.

Four computer models (all combinations of positive and negative displacements for O3 and Ca2, see Table 2) have been derived and their diffraction patterns were compared with the experimentally derived line profiles. It turns out that all major intensity peaks are well reproduced by model A using opposite displacements for O3 and Ca2 (with Ca2 displaced along the chain direction), which shows the best fit to the experimental data. Models B and D fail to produce the most intense peaks, whereas model C does not reproduce the intensities of the peaks and is unlikely because of the tetrahedral distortion parameters. A constant scaling factor is applied to the calculated data as presented in Fig. 1, which shows the 3k0.5, 3k1.5 and 3k2.5 line profiles as an example. Fig. 2 compares the experimental and calculated data of the (3kl) plane. All other measured line profiles and those derived from the four models are included in the supplementary material.

Compared with the average structure the coordination shell of Ca2 is considerably changed. The Ca2 site lies on a mirror plane (m_a) in the average structure, which is reflected by the Ca2-O distances, as shown in Table 3. However, in the local structure this mirror plane is lost and the coordination polyhedron exhibits stronger distortion. Fig. 7(a) shows the coordination of Ca2 in the average structure, whereas Fig. 7(b)depicts the local environment of Ca2. Black arrows show the direction of the displacements of Ca2, O3 compared with their positions in the average structure. The largest changes are found in the distances to the neighbouring O3 atoms in the **a** direction. Even though the distances change significantly (see Table 3), the BVS of Ca2 is just slightly larger.



Figure 6

Distortion parameters for displacement of the bridging oxygen O3 (between octahedra and tetrahedra).

Table 3						
Ca2-O distances (Å) in the	average	and	local	structur	re.

	Average	Local
Ca2-O1	2.4388 (13)	2.485
Ca2-O1 ⁱ	2.4388 (13)	2.397
Ca2-O2	2.5288 (16)	2.572
Ca2-O2 ⁱ	2.5288 (16)	2.487
Ca2-O3 ⁱⁱ	2.7242 (5)	2.537
Ca2-O3	2.7242 (5)	2.911
Ca2-O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.315 (2)	2.315
Ca2-O5	2.3108 (16)	2.326
Ca2-O5 ⁱ	2.3108 (16)	
Ca2	2.071 (3)	2.091

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, y, z; (ii) -1 + x, y, z; (iii) 1 - x, y, 1 - z.

The displacements of the atoms in the rock-salt layer (O4, Ca1) are smaller and depend not only on one tetrahedral chain, but also on the chain configuration in the next brownmillerite block. Furthermore, a shearing distortion can be assumed due to displacements of O atoms in the equatorial planes of the octahedra. Attempts to model these features of the local structure failed, owing to the limited quality of the data (poor counting statistics, especially in the 1kl and 2kl lines with l = 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, see supplementary material). Some features in the diffuse lines remain unexplained, e.g. maxima at $k \simeq 15$ in 1k0.5, k = 0 and $k \simeq 13$ in 1k1.5, k = 0 and $k \simeq 15$ in 3k1.5. However, the derived model of the local structure explains the dominant intensity variation along the diffuse lines. A future study using synchrotron radiation and an improved data treatment (Lp and absorption correction) might be able to reveal more details of the local structure.

3.3. Transmission electron microscopy

In contrast to the XRD results, selected-area electron diffraction revealed that the samples show additional sharp peaks located on the diffuse streaks, which indicates a certain degree of long-range order with respect to the corresponding stacking sequences (see Fig. 8).

Two different patterns of superstructure reflections were observed along the [102] zone axis, as shown in Fig. 8. The



Figure 7

Coordination of the Ca2 site (blue) in $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$. (a) Average structure: the split-site O5 can be seen at the top. (b) Local structure: only one position of O5 exists, corresponding to one tetrahedral chain configuration. O3 atoms of the same tetrahedral chain are shifted to the left, the O3 belonging to the neighbouring chain, and Ca2 atoms are shifted to the right. This figure is in colour in the electronic version of this paper.



Figure 8

ED patterns of the [102] zone axis, showing two different sets of superstructure reflections. (a) Commensurate with $\beta = 0$ and (b) incommensurate with $\beta \simeq 0.27$. The incommensurate satellites are dominant in the investigated sample.

electron-diffraction patterns were recorded from different regions of the same grain. Both show the same pattern of main reflections and diffuse rods parallel to **b**^{*}. However, satellite reflections located on the diffuse streaks show different positions. For the pattern shown in Fig. 8(*b*) a **q** vector² of $\mathbf{q} = 0.27\mathbf{b}^* + 0.5\mathbf{c}^*$ can be used to index the superstructure reflections. In Fig. 8(*a*) a commensurate **q** vector of $\mathbf{q} = 0.5\mathbf{c}^*$ is sufficient to index the pattern. However, the incommensurate **q** vector seems to be dominant in the investigated sample.

All observed electron diffraction (ED) patterns show a γ component of $\frac{1}{2}$, resulting in an alternating intra-layer chain sequence. However, two different values for β were observed, $\beta \simeq 0.27$ and $\beta = 0$, each of them corresponding to a certain stacking sequence.

The monoclinic stacking sequences allow for twinning according to a mirror plane m_b , which was frequently observed. In Fig. 8(b) very weak satellites can be seen which cannot be indexed with $\mathbf{q} = 0.27\mathbf{b}^* + 0.5\mathbf{c}^*$, but with a mirror-related vector $\mathbf{q} = -0.27\mathbf{b}^* + 0.5\mathbf{c}^*$.

As demonstrated by other authors (Krekels *et al.*, 1993; D'Hondt *et al.*, 2008) the [102] zone axis represents a special direction, which gives (for geometrical reasons; see Fig. 17 of Krekels *et al.*, 1993, for details) a strong contrast for different tetrahedral chain configurations. The same is true for the *layered brownmillerite* structure, since the same geometry applies for the brownmillerite blocks. Consequently, highresolution electron microscopy (HREM) images were

² $\mathbf{q} = \alpha \mathbf{a}^* + \beta \mathbf{b}^* + \gamma \mathbf{c}^*$ (Janssen *et al.*, 2004).

recorded along the [102] zone axis (Fig. 9). The image shows smooth lines perpendicular to **b**, which are likely to be caused by the rock-salt layers. The distance between these corresponds to $\frac{b}{2}$. In between these lines the dotted contrast represents the alternating tetrahedral chains. Their spacing (dot-to-dot) is ca 4.8 Å, which agrees with the doubled c lattice in this projection. The straight line is a projection of $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$ (\mathbf{c} as in the average structure), which intersects a distinct tetrahedral chain at each tetrahedral layer. As can be seen along the line, it intersects either bright or dark spots in an irregular sequence. The staggered line follows the stacking vector layer by layer and every change in the stacking vector is marked with a circle. By correlating five HREM images, we were able to map the stacking vectors between 45 brownmillerite blocks: ++--+++-++ (where + and - denote the two possible stacking vectors). As shown later, this sequence is not compatible with any of the observed superstructures and thus represents a region with stacking faults.

3.4. Superspace model

As the observed electron-diffraction patterns can be indexed using different **q** vectors, it is possible to describe the structures utilizing the (3 + 1)-dimensional superspace approach (van Smaalen, 2007; Janssen *et al.*, 2004). In order to find a unified superspace model for the different superstructures a monoclinic subgroup of *Amma* has to be considered as the basic three-dimensional space group. The direction of the unique monoclinic axis has to be parallel to the chain direction. Furthermore, the (3 + 1)-dimensional space group



Figure 9

HREM image recorded along the [102] zone axis. The length of the arrow corresponds to *b*. The Fourier transform is derived from a larger area [*ImageJ* (Abràmoff *et al.*, 2004) was used for image processing].

should exhibit an internal phase shift of $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$ connected with the mirror plane, the effect of this will be described later. The superspace group $A2_1/m11(0\beta\gamma)0s$ (which is a non-standard setting of No. 11.2; Janssen et al., 2004) exhibits the desired properties. The disorder of the tetrahedral chains, as implied by the m_a mirror plane, is now solved by the fact that the two mirror-related chains (R and L) are subject to an internal phase shift $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$. The two atoms (Fe2, O5) which exhibit split positions due to the m_a mirror plane are modelled with creneltype occupational modulation functions (Petříček et al., 1995, 2000) of width $\Delta = 0.5$. The two symmetry-equivalent positions of the two mentioned atoms are phase-shifted by $\frac{1}{2}$. To ensure that they cannot occur in any real-space section at the same time the centres of the crenel functions (x_4^0) have to be carefully chosen: let us consider two consecutive T atoms in the tetrahedral chain, that is for example Fe2 and its symmetry-equivalent position generated by the 2_1 -screw axis along **a**. The Fe2 atom takes the position (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) , whereas that generated by the screw axis is located at $(\frac{1}{2}+x_1, -x_2, -x_3, \frac{1}{2}-x_4)$ (note the effect of the superspace symmetry on x_4). These two atoms shall coexist in every realspace section, therefore the phases of their modulation functions need to be the same. As the phase t is given by $x_4 - qr_0$ $(\mathbf{r}_0$ is the position vector of the atom in the basic structure), the phase of the first atom is $t = x_4 - \gamma x_3$. The phase of the next T atom along the chain is $t = (\frac{1}{2} - x_4) - \gamma(-x_3)$. Putting these into an equation and solving it for x_4

$$x_4 - \gamma x_3 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - x_4\right) - \gamma(-x_3)$$
 (1)

$$x_4 = \frac{1}{4} + \gamma x_3 \tag{2}$$

yields the values to be used as the x_4^0 parameters of the crenel functions. The values of x_4^0 ($\gamma = 0.5$) are: 0.34135 and 0.3174 for Fe2 and O5, respectively.

4. Discussion

Comparing the structure of $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ with $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ highlights a few significant differences. Whereas the A1 cation site in $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ (Sr/Nd site in the rock-salt

Table 4

Bond distances (Å).

Note that the Fe1 site also contains Mn and Ti.

Fe1-O1 ⁱ	1.9235 (4)	Ca1-O1	2.6901 (17)
Fe1-O1 ⁱⁱ	1.9235 (4)	Ca1-O1 ⁱⁱ	2.6901 (17)
Fe1-O2 ⁱ	1.9364 (3)	Ca1-O2	2.5004 (14)
Fe1-O2 ⁱⁱ	1.9364 (3)	Ca1-O2 ⁱⁱ	2.5004 (14)
Fe1-O3	2.175 (3)	Ca1-O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.6969 (3)
Fe1-O4	1.903 (3)	Ca1-O4	2.6969 (3)
Fe2-O3	1.821 (2)	Ca1-O4 ^{iv}	2.256 (3)
Fe2-O3 ^v	1.821 (2)	Ca1-O4 ^{vi}	2.404 (2)
Fe2-O5	1.918 (4)	Ca1-O4 ^{vii}	3.112 (2)
Fe2-O5 ^{viii}	1.909 (4)		

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 + x, y, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y, z$; (iii) -1 + x, y, z; (iv) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (v) x, -y, z; (vi) 1 - x, y, -z; (vii) 1 - x, y, 1 - z; (viii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, -y, -z$.

layer) shows a ninefold coordination, as can be expected for this site, the corresponding Ca site in $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ shows an eightfold coordination. A closer look reveals that it is in fact an 8 + 1 coordination with one unusual long bond. For a list of distances see Table 4. Fig. 10 compares the A1 sites in $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ (Fig. 10*a*) and $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ (Fig. 10*b*). In Ca₄Fe₂Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₉ the octahedra show a larger rotation around the **a** axis, which obviously distorts the coordination shell of the A1 site. The bond shown in red is expanded up to 3.112 (2) Å. The angle between two neighbouring octahedra (measured as the O1-O2-O1 angle along **c**) is 163.9°, which is much closer to brownmillerite compounds such as $Ca_2(Fe_{1-r}Al_r)_2O_5$ than to $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$, which shows a higher value of 175.5° (Barrier et al., 2005). This angle changes with the size of the A cations as can be seen in brownmillerites: 160.4° is found in Ca₂Fe₂O₅ (Redhammer *et al.*, 2004), whereas in $Sr_2Fe_2O_5$ this angle exhibits a value of 170.3° (Berastegui et al., 1999).

Another striking difference between $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ and $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ is the octahedral distortion along the stacking direction. In $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ the O3–O4 distance is 4.5 Å compared with 4.08 Å in $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$. Furthermore, in $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ the octahedral site is moved significantly towards the rock salt layer (Fe1–O4 = 2.51 and Fe1–O3 = 1.99 Å). Consequently, the coordination was described as 5 + 1 by Barrier *et al.* (2005). In $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ this asymmetry is less pronounced (Fe1–O3 = 2.17 and Fe1–O4 = 1.9 Å).



Figure 10

Coordination of the A1 site in (a) $Ca_4Fe_2Mn_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O_9$ and (b) $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$.

As there are two different species of A cations in $Sr_3NdFe_3O_9$ (Sr^{2+} and Nd^{3+}) one could expect partitioning of these on the two available sites. However, neutron scattering as used by Barrier *et al.* (2005) is not able to resolve this issue. BVS calculations do not reveal any significant site preference of Sr or Nd.

Phases of the Ruddlesden–Popper (RP) family (Ruddlesden & Popper, 1958; Beznosikov & Aleksandrov, 2000) may be used for comparison, since they exhibit similar rock-salt layers. In the n = 3 RP phase $(Ca_xSr_{1-x})_4Ti_3O_{10}$ with Ca/Sr as A cations, a preference of Sr for the A2 site within the perovskite block is observed (Hawkins & White, 1991). However, the A2 site in n = 3 RP phases is smaller than the A2 site within the brownmillerite blocks.

In contrast to brownmillerites and the layered brownmillerites, known n = 3 RP phases ($A_4B_3O_{10}$ with A = Ca, Sr and B = Mn, Ti; Battle *et al.*, 1998; Fawcett *et al.*, 1998; Hawkins & White, 1991; Elcombe *et al.*, 1991) show a significant rotation of the octahedra around the **b** axis (stacking direction).

The general superspace model presented for the *layered* brownmillerites is closely related to the superspace model derived for $Sr_2Fe_2O_5$ by D'Hondt *et al.* (2008). The modulation wavevector in both models describes the same relation between intra-layer chain order and the stacking sequence of the layers. In the structure presented here the distance between adjacent tetrahedral layers is almost twice as large as in $Sr_2Fe_2O_5$ (7.8 Å in $Sr_2Fe_2O_5$, 13.3 Å in the structure presented here). In this context it is interesting to note, nevertheless, that interaction between tetrahedral layers is strong enough to form a certain amount of long-range order.

The displacements derived for O3 and Ca2 by split-atom refinement and analysis of diffuse scattering are in agreement with displacements found in the modulated high-temperature structures of the brownmillerites Ca₂(Fe_{1-x}Al_x)₂O₅ (Krüger & Kahlenberg, 2005; Lazic *et al.*, 2008; Krüger, 2011). In these structures a modulated intralayer sequence of *R* and *L* chains exists and the displacements of the neighbouring atoms (corresponding to O3 and Ca2; in Ca₂Fe₂O₅ it is O2 and Ca1; Krüger & Kahlenberg, 2005) can be derived from the amplitudes of their modulation functions (in *x*₁): 0.21 and 0.16 Å for O2 and Ca1. Furthermore, the modulation functions are in an anti-phase relation (see Fig. 7 of Krüger & Kahlenberg, 2005), which agrees with the result that O3 and Ca2 are displaced in opposite directions.

5. Conclusion

An interesting aspect of this study is the differing results obtained by XRD and ED. X-ray diffraction on the singlecrystal scale does not show evidence for any order in the stacking sequence. The XRD pattern can be fully explained by random stacking faults. However, selected-area electron diffraction reveals a high degree of long-range order: regions with order corresponding to at least two different superstructures were observed, although all ED images also show diffuse lines resulting from stacking faults. Furthermore, HREM images prove the existence of fairly disordered regions. All of the above can be understood assuming that *layered brownmillerites* exhibit a structural inhomogeneity, where ordered and disordered regions of limited size co-exist. Electron diffraction is able to detect these ordered regions, because of the stronger interaction between electrons and the sample. Furthermore the electron beam probes the sample on a much smaller volume compared with the XRD experiments. Similar observations have been reported by Schmitt *et al.* (2010).

The *layered brownmillerites* prove that the interactions between the tetrahedral layers are still strong enough to form order, even if the distance between the *T* layers is about twice as large as in brownmillerites.

HK acknowledges financial support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): J2996-N19. TRW and RLW acknowledge support of the Australian Research Council. Furthermore, HK thanks M. Wendschuh and R. X. Fischer for providing 'beamtime' at the Stoe Stadi-4 diffractometer in Bremen.

References

- Abràmoff, M. D., Magalhães, P. J. & Ram, S. J. (2004). *Biophoton. Int.* 11, 36–42.
- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1997). SIR97. Bari, Rome, Italy.
- Barrier, N., Pelloquin, D., Nguyen, N., Giot, M., Boure, F. & Raveau, B. (2005). *Chem. Mater.* 17, 6619–6623.
- Battle, P. D., Green, M. A., Lago, J., Millburn, J. E., Rosseinsky, M. J. & Vente, J. F. (1998). *Chem. Mater.* **10**, 658–664.
- Berastegui, P., Eriksson, S.-G. & Hull, S. (1999). Mater. Res. Bull. 34, 303–314.
- Beznosikov, B. V. & Aleksandrov, K. S. (2000). Crystallogr. Rep. 45, 792–798.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Butler, B. D. & Welberry, T. R. (1992). J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 391-399.
- D'Hondt, H., Abakumov, A. M., Hadermann, J., Kalyuzhnaya, A. S., Rozova, M. G., Antipov, E. V. & Van Tendeloo, G. (2008). *Chem. Mater.* **20**, 7188–7194.
- Elcombe, M. M., Kisi, E. H., Hawkins, K. D., White, T. J., Goodman, P. & Matheson, S. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 305–314.
- Estermann, M. A. & Steurer, W. (1998). Phase Transitions, 67, 165–195.
- Fawcett, I. D., Sunstrom IV, J. E. & Greenblatt, M. (1998). Chem. Mater. 10, 3643–3651.
- Finger, L. W., Kroeker, M. & Toby, B. H. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 188–192.
- Fukuda, K. & Ando, H. (2002). J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 85, 1300-1302.

- Gloter, A., Ingrin, J., Bouchet, D., Scrivener, K. & Colliex, C. (2000). *Phys. Chem. Miner.* 27, 504–513.
- Hahn, T. (1983). *International Tables for Crystallography*, Vol. A. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Hawkins, K. & White, T. J. (1991). Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A, 336, 541–569.
- Janssen, T., Janner, A., Looijenga-Vos, A. & de Wolff, P. M. (2004). International Tables for Crystallography, Vol. C, 3rd ed., ch. 9.8, pp. 907–955. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Kabsch, W. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 795-800.
- Kahlenberg, V. & Fischer, R. X. (2000). Eur. J. Mineral. 12, 129-135.
- Krekels, T., Milat, O., Van Tendeloo, G., Amelinckx, S., Babu, T. G. N., Wright, A. J. & Greaves, C. (1993). J. Solid State Chem. 105, 313– 335.
- Krüger, H. (2011). Proceedings of the 35th Annual Condensed Matter and Materials Meeting, pp. 16–21. 1–4 February. Australian Institute of Physics, Wagga Wagga, NSW, Australia.
- Krüger, H. & Kahlenberg, V. (2005). Acta Cryst. B61, 656-662.
- Lazic, B., Krüger, H., Kahlenberg, V., Konzett, J. & Kaindl, R. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* B**64**, 417–425.
- Maki, I. (1974). Cement Concrete Res. 4, 87-97.
- Marinho, M. B. & Glasser, F. P. (1984). Cement Concrete Res. 14, 360–368.
- Petříček, V., Dušek, M. & Palatinus, L. (2000). JANA2000. Institute of Physics, Prague, Czech Republic.
- Petříček, V., van der Lee, A. & Evain, M. (1995). Acta Cryst. A**51**, 529–535.
- Pöllmann, H. & Oberste-Padtberg, R. (2001). Proceedings of the International Conference on Calcium Aluminate Cements, Edinburgh, edited by R. J. Mangabhai & F. P. Glasser, pp. 139–148. London: IOM Communications Ltd.
- Redhammer, G. J., Tippelt, G., Roth, G. & Amthauer, G. (2004). Am. Mineral. 89, 405–420.
- Robinson, K., Gibbs, G. V. & Ribbe, P. H. (1971). Science, **172**, 567–570.
- Ruddlesden, S. N. & Popper, P. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 54-55.
- Schmitt, L. A., Hinterstein, M., Kleebe, H.-J. & Fuess, H. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 805–810.
- Stoe & Cie GmbH (1999). STADI4, Version 1.13. Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie GmbH (2005a). X-Area. Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie GmbH (2005b). X-Red. Darmstadt, Germany.
- Taylor, H. F. W. (1997). *Cement Chemistry*, 2nd ed. London: Thomas Telford Publishing.
- Touzo, B., Gloter, A. & Scrivener, K. L. (2001). Proceedings of the International Conference on Calcium Aluminate Cements, Edinburgh, edited by R. J. Mangabhai & F. P. Glasser, pp. 129–138. London: IOM Communications Ltd.
- van Smaalen, S. (2007). *Incommensurate Crystallography*, Vol. 21, IUCr Monographs on Crystallography. Oxford University Press.
- Zötzl, M. & Pöllmann, H. (2006). J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 89, 3491–3497.